UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.go

JOSEPH B. KEJHA 1022 FREDERICK ROAD **MEADOWBROOK, PA 19046**

COPY MAILED

DEC 2 2 2004

In re Application of

Kejha et al.

Application No. 09/911,036

Filed: July 23, 2001 Attorney Docket No. JBK-10 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed December 8, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No further petition fee is required for the request. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the final Office action mailed March 11, 2004. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on June 12, 2004.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, (2) the petition fee,

a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, and a terminal disclaimer and fee if the application was filed on or before June 8, 1995 or if the

application is a design application.

Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition, under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information.

¹ In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

² See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D).

The instant petition lacks item (2). Petitioner submitted \$685.00 towards payment of the petition fee. However, on December 8, 2004, the petition fee was increased to \$750.00. Accordingly, in order to revive the above-identified application, an additional \$65.00 must be submitted.

Further, papers filed in an application must be signed by:

(1) An attorney or agent of record appointed in compliance with § 1.34(b);

(2) A registered attorney or agent not of record who acts in a representative capacity under the provisions of § 1.34(a);

(3) The assignee of record of the entire interest, if there is an assignee of record of the entire

interest;

(4) An assignee of record of an undivided part interest, and any assignee(s) of the remaining interest and any applicant retaining an interest, if there is an assignee of record of an undividing part interest; or

(5) All of the applicants (§§ 1.42. 1.43 and 1.47) for patent, unless there is an assignee of record of the entire interest and such assignee has taken action in the application in accordance

with §§ 3.71 and 3.73.

The instant petition submitted on December 8, 2004, was only signed by one of the three inventors. The signatures of the remaining two inventors must also be included if a renewed petition is filed.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

Customer Window located at:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 220 20th Street S

Customer Window, Mail Stop Petitions Crystal Plaza Two Lobby, Room 1B03

Arlington, VA 22202

By fax:

(703) 872-9306

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206.

Liana Chase

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy